Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 1409-1412

A continuous Michael and aldol coupling of α , β -enones catalyzed by iridium complexes

Isamu Matsuda,* Tatsuya Makino, Yuki Hasegawa and Kenji Itoh

Department of Molecular Design and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

Received 20 October 1999; revised 22 November 1999; accepted 3 December 1999

Abstract

Ir[(COD)(PPh₃)₂]OTf activated by H₂ molecule catalyzes Michael-type coupling of α , β -enones with enoxysilanes to give 1,5-dicarbonyl compounds after the subsequent protodesilylation. An identical catalyst system makes it possible to attain a continuous Michael and aldol modification toward α , β -enones in a one-pot operation. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: iridium complex; Michael reaction; enoxysilanes; aldol reaction.

Michael-type reactions are widely recognized as a popular method for carbon–carbon bond formation. In particular, the coupling of enoxysilanes with α,β -enones has attracted widespread interest in synthetic organic chemistry, since TiCl₄-mediated and trityl perchlorate-catalyzed methods were introduced by Mukaiyama. If the resultant enolate ions or enoxysilane is available as a nucleophile, an α,β -enone would be modified at both the β - and α -positions by a one-pot cascade operation. During our project to explore a new concept of carbon–carbon bond formation catalyzed by an Ir complex, we found that [Ir(COD)(PPh₃)₂]OTf (1a) activated by H₂ molecule is highly effective for the Mukaiyama-type aldol coupling. The observation of ¹H NMR spectrum shows that 2a, formed by the oxidative addition of H₂ to 1a, reacts with two molecules of an enoxysilane to form a species including two Ir–Si bonds (3a). This complex would interact nucleophilically with acetals or aldehydes to form the Ir–C species which plays a role as a trigger of the aldol coupling.

$$\begin{bmatrix} PPh_3 \\ PPh_3 \end{bmatrix} OTf \xrightarrow{H_2} \begin{bmatrix} H_2 \\ PPh_3 \\ PPh_3 \end{bmatrix} OTf \xrightarrow{PPh_3} \begin{bmatrix} H_2 \\ PPh_3 \\ PPh_3 \end{bmatrix} OTf \xrightarrow{2} \underbrace{OSiMe_3}_{OSiMe_3} \begin{bmatrix} Me_3Si \\ Ln \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A & A \\ A & A & A \\ A & A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A & A \\ A & A & A \\ A & A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A & A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\ A & A \\ A \end{bmatrix} OTf$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A \\$$

0040-4039/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(99)02304-7

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +81-52-789-5116; e-mail: matsudai@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp (I. Matsuda)

According to the above generalization, 3a may behave as a catalyst capable of Michael-type additions of enoxysilanes toward α,β -enones. In fact, this type of coupling is realized by using a catalyst system prepared from 1a. We describe here an Ir(I)-catalyzed Michael-type coupling between α,β -enones and enoxysilanes, and the application to a consecutive modification of the β - and α -positions of α,β -enones.

Michael-type coupling product **7aa** was isolated after protodesilylation in 33% yield when a CH_2Cl_2 solution of benzalacetone (**4a**) and two equivalent moles of trimethylsilyloxypropene (**5a**) was heated for 13 h at 70°C in a sealed tube containing 1 mol% of **1a** which was preliminarily activated by H_2 molecule at -78°C. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude mixture obtained before protodesilylation suggests that the initial product of this reaction is the enoxysilane **6aa** which is difficult to isolate from a multi-component mixture. Though increase of the catalyst precursor **1a** (3 mol%) did not improve the isolated yield of **7aa**, the use of four equivalent moles of **5a** resulted a good yield of **7aa** (67%).

Despite the moderate yield of 7 at present, it is an important finding that the Michael-type coupling is also catalyzed by an identical system for aldol couplings. Thus, several combinations of α,β -enones and enoxysilanes were used to reveal the scope and limitations of the Michael coupling based on this concept. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Linear α, β -enones, 4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d reacted with 5 to give 7 in a yield of almost equal level, except a combination of 4c and 5a (entry 7). The substituent on the nucleophilic carbon of enoxysilanes may cause lowered reactivity for Michael-type coupling. For example, 5b needed a higher concentration of the catalyst and prolonged reaction time to obtain 7ab in an acceptable yield (entry 4). On the other hand, ketene acetal 5e was more effective than 5a. Two equivalent moles of 5e were sufficient to obtain 68% of 7ae (entry 5). α,β -Enones bearing two substituents on the β -carbon hindered severely the coupling (entry 11). Cyclic α, β -enones (4f and 4g) gave the corresponding 7 in good to excellent yields under similar conditions with the reaction of 5. In particular, 7ga and 7gb were isolated in 97% and 93% yield, respectively, in the reaction of 4g with four equivalent moles of 5a or 5b (entries 14 and 17). The corresponding precursors, 6ga and 6gb were isolated by simple distillation. When ketene acetal 5e was used as a nucleophile, a moderate yield of 7ge was obtained in the reaction of 4g with an equivalent mole of $\mathbf{5e}$ (entry 18). Although (S)-(+)-carvone ($\mathbf{4h}$) resulted in poor conversion under similar conditions because of the two substituents on the cyclohexenone ring, the yield was improved by a slight modification of conditions, namely, high concentration of the catalyst and extended reaction time (entries 20 and 21). The substituent on the β -carbon of cyclic enones prevented severely the coupling (entry 22). Choice of the counter anion is also crucial for the success of the Michael couplings. Complexes, 1a (X=OTf) and 1b (X=ClO₄) showed almost similar efficiency as a catalyst, whereas 1c (X=PF₆) resulted in poor yields of **7** (entries 1, 2, 3, 14, 15, and 16).

Mukaiyama aldol couplings and Michael-type couplings using enoxysilanes are successfully achieved by an identical Ir(I) cation complex. The structure of the resultant enoxysilane is retained in the step just before protodesilylation in the Ir-catalyzed Michael coupling. Thus, a one-pot modification toward α,β -enones is designed by a combination of Michael and aldol couplings. Into a CH₂Cl₂ solution containing 1a (3 mol%) activated by H₂ molecule, were added 4g and 5e (1.3 equiv. moles) successively. After the completion of Michael coupling (50°C, 12 h), benzaldehyde dimethylacetal (1.3 mol equiv.) was added into the same reaction vessel. The resulting mixture was stirred for a further 24 h at 25°C to give 81% of 8a after chromatographic purification. When other acetals such as dimethoxymethane and

Table 1
[Ir(COD)(PPh ₃) ₂]X (1) catalyzed Michael coupling of 4 with 5 ^a

Entry	α,β-Enone	Enoxysilane	Ratio 4:5	X of 1	Mol% of 1	Time (h)	Pı	oduct yield (%) b
1 2 3	∖ ∧ Ph	5a OSiMe ₃	1:4 1:4 1:4	OTf ClO₄ PF ₆	1 1 3	13 15 19	7aa 7aa 7aa	67 50 trace
4	4a)	5b OSiMe ₃	1:4	OTf	5	88	7ab	56 °
5		5e OPh OSiMe ₃	1:2	OTf	1	13	7ae	68
6	4b Ph Ph	5a	1:2	OTf	1	19	7ba	68
7	0	5a	1:3	OTf	1	19	7ca	32
8	4c	5b OSiMe ₃	1:3	OTf	1	16	7cb	62
9	O	5d ()	1:4	OTf	1	12	7cd	60
10	4d 0	5c Ph OSiMe ₃	1:3	OTf	1	14	7dc	66
11	4e O	5a	1:2	OTf	1	20	7ea	4
12	O I	5a	1:4	OTf	1	16	7fa	76
13	4f 🕥	5b	1:4	OTf	1	14	7fb	66 °
14		5a	1:4	OTf	1	14	7ga	97
15		5a	1:4	ClO ₄	1	14	7ga	99
16	Ŭ I	5a 5b	1:4	PF_6	1	14	7ga	10
17	4g	5e	1:4	OTf	1	15	7gb	93 °
18		0).(.	1:1	OTf	1	21	7ge	68
19		5f Me ₃ Si OMe OSiMe ₃	1:2.5	OTf	1	37	7gf	76 °
20	<u> </u>	5a	1:4	OTf	5	62	7ha	86 °
21	4h	5 a	1:4	OTf	5	132	7ha	91 °
22	4i	5a	1:4	OTf	1	13	7ia	16

^a The reactions were conducted on a 1 to 2 mmoles scale in a CH₂Cl₂ solution at 70 °C.

cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde dimethylacetal are used as an acceptor of aldol coupling, the second step is the bottleneck giving insufficient yield of 8b (34%) and 8c (6% with the concomitant formation of the product (17%) resulted by the elimination of methanol from 8c) despite the relatively severe conditions (50°C, 18 h).

^b Isolated yield after protodesilylation of 6.

^c Diastereomers are not specified at present.

4g
$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1a/H}_2 \text{ (3 mol\%), CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \\ \hline \textbf{1) 5e } \text{ (1.3 eq), 50 °C, 12 h} \\ 2) \text{ RCH(OMe)}_2 \text{ (1.3 eq),} \\ 25 °C, 24 \text{ h} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{8a; R = Ph 81\%} \\ \textbf{8b; R = H 34\%} \\ \textbf{8c; R = °C}_6\text{H}_{11} 6\% \\ \end{array}$$

In conclusion, we have found that $[Ir(COD)(PPh_3)_2]OTf$ activated by H_2 molecule catalyzes Michaeltype coupling between an α,β -enone and an enoxysilane and that the identical catalyst system enables a one-pot modification at β - and α -positions of α,β -enones.

References

- 1. (a) Lee, V. J. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; p. 139. (b) Kozlowski, J. A. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; p. 169
- 2. Narasaka, K.; Soai, K.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1974**, 1223. (b) Narasaka, K.; Soai, K.; Aikawa, K.; Mukaiyama, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1976**, 49, 779. (c) Saigo, K.; Osaki, M.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1976**, 163. (d) Heathcock, C. H.; Norman, M. H.; Uehling, D. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 2797. (e) Kobayashi, S.; Murakami, M.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1985**, 953. (f) Kobayashi, S.; Hachiya, I.; Ishitani, H.; Araki, M. *Synlett* **1993**, 472.
- 3. (a) Chepdelaine, M. J.; Hulce, M. *Org. Reactions* **1990**, *38*, 225. (b) Kobayashi, S.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1986**, 221. (c) Kobayashi, S.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1986**, 1805. (d) Mukaiyama, T.; Sagawa, Y.; Kobayashi, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1986**, 1821. (e) Giuseppone, N.; Courtaux, Y.; Collin, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7845. (f) Yamada, K.; Arai, T.; Sasai, H.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 3666.
- 4. Matsuda, I.; Hasegawa, Y.; Makino, T.; Itoh, K. Tetrahedron Lett., in press.